United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/718,680	11/24/2003	Kenji Nakamura	Q78548	1254	
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 . 06/06/200 ON. PLLC	7	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			MILLER, BRIAN E		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2627		
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/06/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/718,680	NAKAMURA ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Brian E. Miller	2627		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	J.	vith the correspondence address		
	or Reply				
WHIII - Extends - If None - Fail - Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, or reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC. cause the application to become	ICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)		
Status	•				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ja	anuary 2007			
		action is non-final.			
3)	,		tters, prosecution as to the merits is		
, — -	closed in accordance with the practice under E				
Disposit	tion of Claims				
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.				
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>13-16</u> is/are allowed.				
·	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.			
Applicat	tion Papers				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		by the Examiner		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)) All b) Some * c) None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	n received in this National Stage		
*	application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, ,,,	t received		
,	occ the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies no	it received.		
	•				
Attachmei	nt(s)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)		
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		n(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🗌 Other: _	 :		

Application/Control Number: 10/718.680

Art Unit: 2627

Claims 1-16 are now pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Page 2

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. (a) The language in claim 1 (and similarly for claim 4), i.e., "another one of the plurality of holders is configured not to press the information recording medium in any other direction, which is parallel to the loading plane and which is substantially different than the predetermined direction." is incomprehensible. It is not readily apparent what the aforementioned claim language encompasses. The metes and bounds of the claim(s) are thus not readily ascertained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Konno et al (US 6,907,611).

Application/Control Number: 10/718,680

Art Unit: 2627

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

In so far as the claims are definite and understood, Konno et al discloses (As per claims 1 & 4) a clamp mechanism, as shown in at least FIGs. 3-5, for clamping and unclamping an information recording medium 8 to be loaded on a loading plane of a turntable 12, the clamp mechanism comprising: a plurality of holders 36 each holding the information recording medium 8 loaded on the loading plane of the turntable 12; and a driving device (including at least elements 18, 26, 34, 35, 46) driving the holders 36 in both of a holding direction ("D" direction-FIGs. 3-4) along which the information recording medium 8 is held and an un-holding direction ("C" direction-FIGs. 3-4) along which the information recording medium 8 is released from being held; wherein at least one of the plurality of holders is configured to press, in a direction parallel to the loading plane, i.e., all the holders have both a perpendicular and longitudinal force component, the information recording medium 8 loaded on the turntable 12 (see col. 4, lines 21-36). It is noted that the language addressed in the 112(2) rejection above is considered to be encompassed by Konno, in so far as this language is comprehensible; (as per claim 2) wherein the plurality of holders 36 are configured to simultaneously hold and press the information recording medium 8 when the driving device is driven in the holding direction; (as per claim 3) wherein the driving device comprises a movable member 34 slidable in a direction perpendicular to the loading plane of the turntable 12, and a pressing member 46 pressing the plurality of holders 36 so as to release the information recording medium 8 from being held, when the movable member is slid in the

direction perpendicular to the loading plane to be separated from the loading plane.

Claims 4-6 have similar limitations to claims 1-3 and are rejected under the same grounds.

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 4

- 5. Claims 7-12 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. Claims 13-16 are allowable over the prior art of record.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 10/3/06 & 1/29/07 have been fully considered but they are not fully persuasive. Until the 112(2) rejection to claims 1 & 4 have been addressed and appropriately amended, the patentability of these claims cannot be properly determined at this time.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection, e.g., the 112(2) rejection, presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2627

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian E. Miller whose telephone number is (571) 272-7578. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 6:30am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa T. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-7579. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.

Art Unit: 2627

Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brian E. Miller

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2627

BEM

May 25, 2007